1	THE GOOTE LAW COOLD D.C.	The Honorable Lonny R. Suko
2	THE SCOTT LAW GROUP, P.S. Darrell W. Scott	
3	darrellscott@mac.com	
	Matthew J. Zuchetto	FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
4	matthewzuchetto@mac.com 926 West Sprague Avenue, Suite 680	EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5	Spokane, WA 99201	MAR 2 0 2012
6	Ph: (509) 455-3966	JAMES R. LARSEN, CLERK
7	DURKOP LAW OFFICE	SPOKANE, WASHINGTON
8	Timothy W. Durkop	
	tim@durkoplaw.com	
9	2312 N. Cherry Street, Suite 100	
10	Spokane Valley, WA 99216	
11	Ph: (509) 928-3848	
	UNITED STATES DIST	RICT COURT
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF	
13		
14	DOYLE WHEELER and CARRI	•
15	WHEELER, husband and wife, individually	NO. CV-10-202-LRS
13	and on behalf of similarly situated	
16	Washington residents,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
17	Plaintiffs,	
18	v.	<b>,</b> ·
	NOTEWORLD, LLC, d/b/a NOTEWORLD	FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO
19	SERVICING CENTER, a Delaware limited	DEFENDANT NOTEWORLD
20	liability company; NATIONWIDE SUPPORT)	
21	SERVICES, INC., a California corporation;	
	FREEDOM DEBT CENTER, a California	
22	corporation; and JOHN AND JANE DOES A-K,	
23		
24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
	·	

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT NOTEWORLD: 1

LAW OFFICES
THE SCOTT LAW GROUP
A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION
926 W. SPRAGUE AVENUE
SUITE 680
SPOKANE, WA 99201
(509) 455-3966

23

24

25

26

THIS MATTER came before the Court for hearing pursuant to an Order of
this Court, dated November 3, 2011, on the application of the Settling Parties for
approval of the Settlement set forth in the Class Settlement Agreement dated as of
October 18, 2011(the "Agreement"). Due and adequate notice having been given
of the settlement as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all
papers filed and proceedings held herein and otherwise being fully informed in the
premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED,
ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:
1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the
Agreement, and all capitalized terms used herein shall have the same meanings set

- in the meanings set forth in the Agreement.
- This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Litigation 2. and over all parties to the Litigation, including all Members of the Class.
- Except as to any individual claims of those Persons who have validly 3. and timely requested exclusion from the Class, the Action and all claims contained therein as to NoteWorld are dismissed with prejudice as to the Class Representatives and the other Members of the Class. The parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided for in the Agreement.
  - Upon the date of the Final Order, the Class Representatives and each 4.

of the Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Released Claims against NoteWorld.

- 5. Upon the date of the Final Order, all Class Members and anyone claiming through or on behalf of any of them, will be forever barred and enjoined from commencing, instituting, prosecuting or continuing to prosecute any action or other proceeding in any court of law or equity, arbitration tribunal, or administrative forum, asserting the Released Claims against NoteWorld.
- 6. Upon the date of the Final Order, each of the Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged the Class Representatives and Class Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims), arising out of, relating to, or in connection with the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement or resolution of the Litigation or the Released Claims.
- 7. The court hereby incorporates herein by reference its Final Order Approving Class Settlement. The Notice provided to the Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all Persons entitled to such notice, and said Notice fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the requirements of due process, and any other applicable law.

- 8. Neither the entry of this Judgment, nor the Agreement nor the settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Agreement or of the settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claim, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendant NoteWorld or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of any fault or omission of NoteWorld in any civil, criminal, or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal; or (c) is or may be deemed to be evidence that any Class Members have suffered any damages, harm or loss. No representation or concession made in the Agreement or the settlement contained therein shall be considered to have res judicata or collateral estoppel effect against NoteWorld or give rise to any form of estoppel or waiver by NoteWorld in any other proceeding. NoteWorld may file the Agreement and/or the Judgment in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 9. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AS TO DEFENDANT NOTEWORLD: 4

LAW OFFICES
THE SCOTT LAW GROUP
A PROFESSIONAL SERVICE CORPORATION
926 W. SPRAGUE AVENUE
SUITE 680
SPOKANE, WA 99201
(509) 455-3966

and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund; (b) modification of the injunctive relief provisions of the Consent Judgment for Injunctive Relief; and (c) continuing jurisdiction over claims as to remaining Defendants.

- 10. The Court finds that during the course of the Litigation, the Settling Parties and their respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11.
- 11. All claims asserted herein on behalf of the Class as against NoteWorld are hereby dismissed with prejudice.
- 12. There is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Order and Final Judgment and immediate entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 3/20/12

The Honorable Lonny R. Suko United States District Judge